

Feedback From Exhibition Of The IoS Link Harbours Planning Application Proposals
 St Johns Hall, Penzance, 30th September 2008

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
Well thought out and caters for all (passengers and freight).	Brilliant - Go ahead. Been travelling to Scilly since 1966. This project is much needed. At last!!
The short extension to the lighthouse pier is insufficient for south easterly storm, meaning the new Scillonian will have to use the Albert Pier again, so what's the point of the new terminal? Will the Scillonian IV fit the dry dock and Holman's; will it go to Falmouth? Very big environmental impact that cannot be proven will not destroy the harbour areas.	Just a white elephant, with regards to local peoples views and feelings.
A good design and necessary particularly for freight. Existing operation at North arm and Wharf Road dangerous. Only concern is severe SE Gales which could cause problems.	Good exhibition. Hope construction starts soon and new ship can be provided.
I feel there is not sufficient information to give an opinion on this scheme and facilities. Far too many finances are going into the consultants purses and important details have not been taken forward in the early stages of this project.	Interested in the structural design of the vessel. Hull design.
Wrong place. Wrong option. Pure expediency at the expense of Penzance.	No imagination. No foresight. There must be a better option.
Anything is better than what is there now! I don't find it unattractive and it will blend in given time. If anything it's not as large as I had expected. I see there are coach parking and drop off points, but where are the car parks to feed them?	Please contact me at xxxxxxxxxxxx as I would like to discuss the provision of car parking for users of the Scillonian with one of your staff or if you need any information regarding visitor parking requirements.
Awful! Ugly! Apparently bad trade-off: loss of beautiful historically precious heart of Penzance harbour and frontage for what? To comply with regulations. I can't help feeling we don't need to pay such a price! "Public consultation" far too late in the day - no	

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>alternatives given. We should be thinking minimal not maximum. Do not rush this through! It would be extremely unpopular!!</p>	
<p>Might find the new building rather ugly visually. Difficult to judge from small scale models.</p>	<p>Uncertain.</p>
<p>Proposed building is very ugly - cars and coaches offloading and collecting passengers when outside terminal doors will cause traffic congestion. Proposed new wall will destroy a historic and beautiful view from Penzance. Building work will cause a lot of debris and disturbance in surrounding waters, which will affect sea life/swimmers. Will it be safe to swim of Battery Rocks? People have been travelling to Scilly for decades in the Scillonian as it is - even if they have a waterproof terminal to keep themselves and their luggage dry - once they're travelling on the small ferry boats to the islands - they and their luggage can still get wet. It's part of the experience of going to rural "unspoilt" islands. People go to Scilly because of the nature and beauty and to get away from urbanisation. Will you then need to provide a passenger terminal on all of the islands. The option proposed is the cheapest - cheapest isn't always the vast viable or the best. Why destroy things for the cheapest option. Who does this actually benefit? Why change? Why not provide covered walkways along the existing pier?</p>	
<p>Loathe it. Vandalism of Penzance, our amenities / environment and ugly. Makes no sense at all for citizens (even holidaymakers) - ruined views, as well. No impact statements regarding extra lorries/coaches etc) - I was presuming this was all about growth, however, now understand its about coach and lorry drivers frustration - tell me otherwise.</p>	<p>It does nothing to enhance Penzance. It is a cost to taxpayers - that the private Scillonian company should pay for. We do not like the changes.</p>
<p>I don't think it's a good idea at all. I walk along the stretch from the harbour to Newlyn every day and to see this monstrosity every day would be awful.</p>	<p>I don't think this has been thought out at all. It won't add anything to Penzance either it will take things, such as an amazing view away from the town.</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>Absolutely horrendous! It's in completely the wrong place, it will ruin a lovely part of the town and do nothing to enhance it.</p>	<p>It would be much better to have the passenger and freight facilities by the train station for easier access and less traffic going through town.</p>
<p>Horrendous! The word "Fit" is used to suggest that the proposed works will "fit" into the historic harbour scope. The proposal does not fit the harbour at all, but will instead destroy this historic sight, the sight of which delights both locals and tourists. the view from further along the promenade, which presently is a tourist attraction and part of what makes Penzance special will be spoilt by unsuitable buildings and rock armour! Aesthetically it is an affront!</p>	<p>Is this really necessary, and really helpful to Penzance? Illustrations using 2 photographs of differing scale is deceptive. It gives the sense of the view to be lost as one approaches the harbour from the promenade, since the photograph illustrating proposed works simply shows these close too. Is there a real need, or is it simply distortion by outside EU and government funding? It could also destroy our local steamship company if they fail to procure the tender, so losing local control.</p>
<p>Rather sterile.</p>	<p>I'm concerned that the new ship will be put out to tender. This will probably mean a large efficient company like xxxxxxxxxx taking it on. I am bemused that the IOS company can't run its own ship and make a profit. They have a captive audience regarding sea link. I am concerned regarding the lorries and there would have to be a limit on when they could access the near port. Personally speaking, and probably rather naively, I believe there could be an alternative way of producing a deep water facility by extending the existing harbour wall. I have seen this done in other areas in the British Isles. I am unhappy regarding the proposed development. I understand the need for job security and the sea link, but I believe that the whole economic structure of this area needs to be considered. I can see it all becoming very emotive for the wrong reasons. Tourism is a life-line here, but out of 17 houses in our 'terrace', over half are now second homes. We need to start looking more closely at the infrastructure of Penwith. To become emotionally tied to this development, its 'not-happening' is wrong.</p>
<p>Seems to be minimal impact</p>	<p>Why? So much money on such a small service. Where's the money to be made? Is this all to be paid from by upping the prices so that we, the locals cannot afford it. I still don't understand the need.</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
I do not have an opinion	We must have the sea link to the Scillys, but do we need to go so much bigger.
Not good - lack of local building materials in an uninspired terminal design - metal clad roof! Concrete walls - textured to look like granite! Little overview of possible environmental impact. Little thought in general about traffic - HGV wagons (impact of etc). Overall design is cheap in the visual sense and in the materials to be used. A huge lorry/wagon park to be built - suggests an increase in HGV usage. The corner site is on a dangerous stretch of road at the moment - this will deteriorate.	Future developments in this town have to take into account the atmosphere/character of the town, preserve and enhance historic areas of the town and must not blight the townscape with cheap system-built structures. A sustainable approach in relation to cars/trucks, HGV's needs to be promoted so these modes of transport are unsustainable in themselves.
Is it really necessary? Where has the view of the Mount gone? Where will locals go to hide away? "Battery Rocks" at present - especially when I need peace and quiet?	I realise that we must advance, but please take care. We are an old market town - don't spoil us any more, please.
New passenger entrance far too high and will block view and also catch so much wind from the east.	All in all a good general idea.
An appalling proposal - why bring all the traffic into town - Keep it out of town! Passenger terminal should be near railway and freight should be near Long Rock. What benefit to existing harbour users?	I am ashamed that my council can use my money for this - a complete mockery of democratic representation.
The trucks with the freight will look horrible and I don't really understand what is going to be done to help other drivers and pedestrians on the roads where there are horrible corners, and no crossings for pedestrians. Also, the building looks very clean and tidy without the people in it, but when it gets vandalised and covered in graffiti and chewing gum it will look horrible.	I don't really understand how anyone in Penzance will really benefit from having all the coaches, trucks and cars going to the Scillies drive through the centre of town. Also, what will happen to the wild life? Battery rocks are a very popular place to go swimming also for wildlife. I also don't think that the Jubilee pool will really like having a stinking great boat and building ruining the view and adding to the pollution in the water. And, with the growing threat of global warming, is it wise to be adding so much more pollution to the air?
Penzance Harbour ok, apart from canopy and no proper access to toilets as now in terminal and no public access.	Who is paying for St Mary's improvements as harbour is owned by Duchy Estates. Public money should not be spent on harbour at St Mary's.

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>It is a concern that a design that only addresses a small part of the issues facing Penzance harbour and town is being so heavily promoted with little apparent thought to the bigger picture. The freight route into Penzance will still suffer congestion by coming in by the station and along the wharf side. The actual design of facilities is not unpleasing and would 'fit in' but is this a rush to get something done quickly without considering wider ranging options for a better solution?</p>	<p>I would think that the proposal is of benefit to The Isles of Scilly and not really to Penzance as is. Surely somebody can come up with a plan that integrates more facilities and benefits for Penzance harbour/town/promenade?</p>
<p>Reasonably impressed with the final(?) design for the proposed facilities, but concerned about the increased volume of traffic in the area designated for road freight access. This is already a very busy corner and I would hope that some form of traffic control would be put in place to facilitate pedestrian road safety ... perhaps a pelican crossing as the existing zebra crossing is some distance away.</p>	<p>Whilst I appreciate the need for improved facilities and am mindful of the requirements of the proposed new vessel, I am concerned about the visual consequences of the project - aside from the much restricted vista and the loss of the beach site, I am not entirely enamoured by the design of the canopy at the entrance to the new passenger terminal and the possible siting of the wind generators on the harbour wall. I would hope that such concerns are addressed before the project is given its final seal of approval and that the scheme allows for provision of new public conveniences in the area. I also hope that security considerations are addressed in relation to this larger terminal and the increased flow of passenger (and freight) traffic. Looking to the future, yet mindful of the nature of the sea crossing - especially during the winter months - was any serious consideration ever given to the provision of a 'Ro-Ro' service or was this deemed to be totally impractical? I await the final outcome in the sincere hope that it will take into account the many views expressed by myself and other concerned "Penwithians" who attended the presentation at St John's Hall today, 30th September 2008.</p>
<p>Appalling. This is the worst of supermarket architecture imposed on a beautiful and historic part of Penzance. What are the planners/architects thinking? Has absolutely not attention been paid to the ultimate visual impact of this scheme? It is too large, too utilitarian and too unsightly! A non entity of a structure plonked in a</p>	<p>I am shocked at how far the scheme seems to have progressed with such little public input. Penzance has few civic amenities - our bay and views of the Lizard and Mount compensate us for that lack. Now this dreadful scheme will blight even that. Keep development on the station side of Penzance.</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
prominent position on this beautiful bay. Industrial architecture belongs in an industrial park.	
Must only be done as a last resort. Providing there really are no other alternatives	As a frequent visitor to Penzance to birdwatch, I was very disappointed to learn of these plans and I urge all concerned to seek and (please God) find a less destructive and intrusive alternative.
In the wrong place. All transport links including ferry should be on the other side of the harbour linking with bus/rail stations and mainly obscured from view by Chyandour Cliffs.	Wrong site. Will increase traffic noise and congestion.
Cannot possibly approve any of the loss of views at such a panoramic point. View back into Penzance completely obliterated (and along to Chyandour). Although, on the grapevine, I hear that Vosper's Building is already designated for housing. I suggest this as a n alternative. I understand something is needed, but cannot condone any loss of view.	Also, it sounds as if it is already a 'fait accompli'. Convince me otherwise. It is beginning to smell like the harbour at low tide.
My opinion is that most of the design could be moved to the outer harbour, not near the Cenotaph and Jubilee Pool.	Very poorly publicised over the last 2 years.
Entirely out of keeping with surroundings. Poorly thought out, dull. An insult to those who will have to work in it and live in its vicinity! What a start to one of the most beautiful short ferry crossings.	Looks largely unnecessary. Other more modest, less costly and more sustainable options. Almost certainly capable of meeting objectives.
My concerns are that a larger boat will be unnecessary due to the fact that the current passenger numbers do not fill the existing boat. Presumably the new boat will be doing the job of the Gry Maritha as well, which is fine during the summer months, but during the winter, the boat will mainly run as a freight boat and be overly large for this purpose alone, will then use more fuel than the Gry.	Another concern is that the proposed structure (terminal) will not be large enough to deal with both freight and passengers. So will (in another couple of years) we have to deal with expansion, possibly into the monument/pool area? The facilities that we have seem adequate to deal with the traffic to Scilly - so why spend all of this money on something that we don't need and possibly risk ruining the area and forever changing the Penzance outline. I cannot say that I am in favour of the proposal.
Horrible	This development will ruin all of the best views and most precious parts of Penzance. I cannot believe that environmentally this is a serious

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
	<p>suggestion.</p> <p>On every grounds I can think of environmental, aesthetic, historic, economic, it is a bad idea. Please, please do not do this reconsider another location and a more sustainable approach.</p>
<p>Design looks very sleek, but sleek doesn't mean better - see comments below</p>	<p>My huge concern is the loss of peace, privacy and possible availability of the Battery Rocks as a well-established, loved and valued swimming and meeting place. We are so lucky to have this. I have used it since my teens (I'm now 41) and this area adds to the special quality of Penzance - we should celebrate and protect this.</p>
<p>Totally out of keeping with Old Penzance, our granite town. Very ugly '60's canopied building. Please do not ruin our beautiful bay.</p>	<p>Why can't facilities be moved to Long Rock? Why do we have to have our views and heritage ruined which benefits Penzance residents not at all, and the ship will not even be able to be serviced in Penzance dry dock.</p>
<p>They are ugly and a downright disgrace to the people of Penzance who actually want to live in a place of beauty. Don't turn our town into a tourist-ridden, ugly cesspit, which by building these you will.</p>	<p>Will increase traffic and congestion. Not enough crossings or places for visitors to park cars, too hard to carry luggage to new terminal. Will destroy the beauty of Penzance, ruin the appeal of the town. Disrespectful to the thousands of people whom which the memorial so close to the area is dedicated to very disrespectful!!! Listen to the people - don't do it. Your idea is horribly ugly and disrespectful and takes away the charm of Penzance.</p>
<p>Not entirely satisfied. I believe it will ultimately spoil a beautiful area of the town /seafront. It will destroy an area around the harbour and rocks that I enjoy swimming in and occupies great natural beauty. I am aware this is a rather hurried objection but one that is important to me.</p>	<p>I am unhappy that there is no other alternative or is there?</p>
<p>Too big. Blocks the view, particularly from the Jubilee Pool and the prom. Why so much space needed for so few extra passengers? Why such a big ship needed for so few passengers during the winter months? Why so much freight space needed?</p>	<p>What will this benefit Penzance and Penwith? We should be protecting what we have, which has been destroyed over the last years. ie Wharf side and the car parks. We need tourism. This certainly won't attract people to stay here. How about the rubbish consultation problem!!!</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
I like it without the work done. It's fun to swim there and go rock pooling.	
Good. Apart from the increase in height of the sea wall and the high building blocking the view of the Bay. Such a special view. Why lose it?	Re-position the building (terminal and café). Keep the low wall and the view.
I love the Jubilee Pool and it is really neat we have to keep it. The Jubilee Pool is the best pool in the world. You are the best people in the world!	
It spoils the whole area and looks too modern.	All this for storage!
Looks good and long overdue. Blends in well with existing structures and doesn't distract from what's there.	An important ferry link should have a proper passenger terminal and freight storage - this seems to provide that. But this should be really be part of a bigger development, including marina, outside Albert Pier.
	Would this not be better on the outside of Albert Pier - thus keeping passenger and freight traffic out of town, and free of the swing-bridge into the dry dock.
The proposals do nothing to help link existing infrastructure - Railway, Bus Station, Car Park to the ferry. At the same time they create an eye-sore in one of Penzance's most attractive spots.	Could do better!
I am horrified by these plans - To ruin the important and most attractive part of this Penzance Promenade is totally irresponsible. The Jubilee Pool, the beach, east of the pool and the battery rocks provide a nature and recreational area that must be kept.	We endeavour to keep green spaces in cities. Surely this area deserves to be left and not ruthlessly industrialised. The area surrounding the listed pool should be left.
Change is always a challenge. The existing arrangements cause problems re loading/access etc, and the proposal seems to meet these needs, although some vista will be lost	OK
The design looks fine. The position is appalling.	I am completely against the loss of this piece of coast, as I am not convinced it is necessary.
Dreadful. In the wrong place. Desecration of one of the UK's most beautiful views. How anyone could seriously consider doing this is	Why does this seem so much like a done deal? Surely there is an alternative?

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
beyond me.	
	Where is rough storm protection for the new vessel? Extending the quay will not be enough to stop the swell battering her during winter storms! Will the new vessel fit on Albert Pier - I do not think so. Also, loss of local jobs when it is not able to use local dry dock.
Should be on the back of Albert Pier - much easier access for parking etc. Will not totally ruin Battery Rocks.	
As a Battery Rocks swimmer, it is ugly and will be a total blot on the landscape. Surely would be better sited from the Albert Pier	Please don't go ahead with this awful plan.
Interesting ideas, but so many "views" of the bay and "the Mount" will be lost. Many disabled people will be unable to enjoy the views from the St Anthony Gardens. (I am disabled now and I was born in Penzance)	I hope this idea will be transferred to another site in the harbour area. Once a beach has gone - it never returns! There will be more car parks? The car wins every time!
The buildings are sited in wrong positions - this is a wonderful vista and should not be built upon.	
The excessive height of the freight warehouse and the location of the passenger shed (and its appalling design) - on the waterfront at the site of the stunning view of the whole bay - beggars belief. The facilities may be needed - I doubt if many will argue against that - but the way it is designed is dreadful.	Is this really the best that we can do for Penzance? We have one of the most beautiful bays in the world and it appears the only development the "enhance" it is block the view with a hideous. Cost is a factor - I'm told - and it shows. Other parts of the country and certainly in other parts of Europe - this would never be allowed.
Don't like the spiky roof of freight facilities. Would think a curved design would be much more in keeping. This area is a traditional one.	Feel that the area for facilities has been placed in a recreational area and think that it could have been less conspicuously placed, eg behind the Buccaneer Shell Shop?
The overall design for Penzance is visually exciting. I would make the following comments: The front of the building should be set back from the vista at the battery. Maybe this can be achieved by reworking the freight and car parking area. Perhaps setting the loading area between external store and freight store, or narrowing courtyard area (linear). The main entrance could be located further	The main entrance canopy is exciting, but too grand a scale for its purpose. (Misleading for tourists/visitors to Penzance). It gives the impression of being a tourist/visitors centre or attraction. My main concern is that the current forward position of the building will interrupt the view at the end of the promenade. It will interrupt the 180 degree view from the mount along the beaches. This space is a viewing

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
up the plan at the end of the coach parking area.	point for both locals and visitors alike before or after using the promenade. It is an important part of the urban space within Penzance. Any break in this view will be detrimental to the urban pattern. Style, materials (aside from concrete 'fake' stone) and layout work well aside from above comments. Good luck.
That they do not appear to be in keeping with the locality - and appear to look like a 'carbuncle'. Also, is the volume of passengers throughout the year adequate enough to justify both the expenditure and the facility itself.	It appears to be a huge amount of money spent on something that does not appear completely necessary. That local views will be compromised and an area of local history and beauty will be spoiled.
I think the proposed passenger and freight facilities would be intrusive and ruin one of the best views of Mounts Bay. It would also spoil the setting of the Jubilee Pool and the War Memorial.	Consideration should be give to the relocation of the ferry terminal at the eastern end of the harbour.
More money should be spent on putting the whole project closer to the Rail Station in order to make full use of the bay for larger vessels.	Nice display, but not impressed.
The design and logistical handling are an improvement on what exists, but it is totally wrong to build such a scheme over the beach and rocks below the harbour wall - this is a delightful sheltered beach much used and loved by many people in Penzance and its visitors, and its loss would be a disgrace. Its like building a coach park on Morrab Gardens. This area should be enhanced for people to use, not for business. Please think again.	Much more information needs to be given out to the people of Penzance, by mailing out the proposal to the whole town, and by putting up large billboards on the site (not a couple of A3 sheets!) to advertise properly what is proposed.
I disagree completely. Things don't always go your way its our local area and it's been there fore everyone to enjoy and it will not go. I may be a child but children have feelings too.	Somewhere other than Penzance.
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The building is too generic - I'm afraid we're going to create another Lidi/Jewsons/bus station disaster. 2. They impact minimally on the current sea front but this is a much visited area by locals and tourists and I wonder whether this is 	Any major development in Penzance always seems to consider the seafront as a piece of 'free real estate', which can be extended into at will. When will the planning department realise that this is the town's main asset and should be protected fro public use wherever possible.

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>another piecemeal development which would ideally be sited elsewhere.</p>	
<p>An appalling lack of sensitivity for the under used and under valued areas of the town, which have already begun their demise through the lack of thought in making the prom the towns main thoroughfare for heavy traffic.</p>	<p>The Scillies will be ruined if access is upgraded or improved. Keep it special and stop wasting our money!!! Spend it on what matters</p>
<p>Not as first the knuckle has been shortened. So no use in South Easterly's to other harbour users.</p>	<p>As a boat owner using Penzance harbour, I am surprised to notice that the knuckle at the end of the new extension on the pier has been shortened. My question is</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why? 2. How can this shortened version help with South Easterly's
<p>Poor! Cheap and nasty! It is destroying one of the few natural assets of Penzance. Who wants to come around the corner and come across any old air terminal that will get bashed by wind and wave and become decrepit in no time at all. What excuses are these for taking away original beauty of an area.</p>	<p>I don't think the people of Penzance will benefit from this at all. It will only add to the misery of traffic along the wharf side not enhance it. The materials will not be in keeping with local buildings which are all very old in that area. Quite honestly to sum it up, it will be an awful eyesore in the oldest, dearest part of Penzance. To benefit who? The Isles of Scilly, not Penzance.</p>
<p>Do you really want to know my honest opinion - Totally against proposals for Penzance. "Totally unnecessary - carbon footprint. You will be taking away the local history of Penzance. I agree that you should extend pier to accommodate the new ship. The area earmarked for coaches etc is totally unnecessary. What about the road around the harbour!!! Attracting more vehicles - cannot cope at the present time - there will be chaos!! The Isles of Scilly cannot cope with more visitors - the beauty of the area will be spoilt and people will not want to visit them. I agree with the plans of Mr Cartwright. Freight should be containerised at the industrial site at Eastern Arm and freighted to the harbour. You do not need a passenger unit! People have not arrival problems at the moment. Quick departure and arrival. Why not build a marina opposite the</p>	

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
Lighthouse Pier.	
<p>The terminal building looks like a utility building circa Festival of Britain - hideous - it will exclude Penzance and its citizens further from our chief asset - the beautiful Mounts Bay. How an historic town like Penzance has had their future to be ruined by a succession of misconceived plans which has gradually cut Penzance town off from the sea is difficult to understand. The existing harbour is filled in and a car park is built on prime sea front land and now you want to build another harbour. This development is unnecessary.</p>	<p>See the summary of alternative proposals for a new Isle of Scilly Shipping Service by JEC Cartwright. One of your staff here today said that Cornwall CC its parties have received 75% positive feedback on the schemes. I would like to know the proportion of Penzance residents who have given positive feedback to this monstrous project.</p>
<p>Nothing is too wrong with the design, all the problems hinge around its location - this effectively will ruin the views. Also, I know of many schools that enhance their pupil's education by taking the children to this area where it is safe for the children to stand and stare. The traffic volume will increase and the potential danger to the children (health and safety issues here). I fully appreciate the need for a new longer and better equipped vessel.</p>	<p>I don't have issues with the buildings or the fact that one is needed for security purposes especially, But, could not the passenger terminal be located at the site of the old Vosper's Garage. Penzance needs all the aesthetics it can get because the promenade is such a mess - 4 is the natural beauty that is the best. This will destroy one of the best natural views in Penzance.</p>
<p>Not too happy. My main concern is what effect these plans will have on local wildlife, as it will clearly destroy many sensitive habitats of several species. Another concern is how it will look. Penzance needs tourism, and these plans will not make it look anymore attractive. The wharf side parking place is already an eyesore, please do not make another one. Not to mention the noise! Also, traffic might increase, making the road even more dangerous to cross, thus limiting access to the beach (of which very little will be left).</p>	<p>My family very regularly uses this area of the beach. I am a child-minder and often take the children in my care there. It is cheap, accessible and there is a beach as well as rock pools for scrambling. There are many poor children in Penzance and this is yet another accessible facility that will be taken from them.</p>
<p>I think it is wrong to take away huge amounts of wonderful beach and it will also spoil the natural habitat of wildlife in rock pools, such as crabs, small fish, shrimps and prawns. By the way, there are enough car parks in Penzance already; we don't need any more.</p>	<p>None.</p>
<p>Seems reasonably in keeping with area/need/environment. Views</p>	<p>Hopefully it will be the start of regeneration for Penwith and the</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
still accessible and sea and beach elsewhere and important that wildlife considered.	Scilly's - only today mentioned on the radios as one of the most deprived areas in the country. Will create increased employment and trade and income for the area.
None	This is an incredible waste of money. How did it get this far? Present facilities are quite sufficient, or is this a fait accompli?
	My general opinion is that this is an example of developers seeking to develop that which does not need to be developed and which is adequate for our needs. £17 million pounds for the vessel build is a lot of money. How much for the harbour works cost? Someone will make a lot of profit and move on to other projects. Who will pay the cost of this one?
A lot of people use the area of Battery Rocks for recreational purposes - dog-walking/swimming etc. The proposed development will curtail these activities and be detrimental to the environment.	
Not needed for this area. Concerned with environmental impact on area south of current Penzance harbour wall. Survey states no other activity in area. Personally know of two recent sightings around harbour!! Battery Rocks excellent site for rockpool events for schools, easy access including for disabled.	Don't let this happen.
It's OK providing facilities around the harbour, Battery Rocks, Bathing Pool area is not hindered and not too like a commercial area solely for the IoS ferries.	We shall hope it will not incur disruption over several months whilst it goes ahead. I hope not - in fact I have my doubts whether it can be done at all.
Ugly design, have concern about freight traffic and consultation process, funding, department of transport and private ferry companies. How much is this going to cost?	Will this detract from attraction of beach to young to tourist families, uniqueness of site to change to commercial site? I do not want this particular design and plan to go ahead - more local consultation please.
The loss of the view of the bay from the west along the prom is a tragedy. No-one can get a sweeping panoramic view of the entire Mounts Bay. The new terminal will block those views.	The Penzance Harbour Users Plan is a better solution, leaving our present historic harbour alone and expanding the Eastern area. Or, if we must use this plan, why can't Vosper's Garage Site be used as the terminal and keep the view untouched.

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>Concerned that the development will add noise and water pollution to one of the best places to swim and relax in Cornwall - off the rocks behind the pool, and will change the atmosphere at a stunning piece of coastline and important historic facility - The Jubilee Pool. Not sure wildlife disturbance can be mitigated. Difficulties of using road junctions as driver and danger of crossing road anywhere between Ross Bridge and Jubilee Pool as a pedestrian not addressed. Design of passenger terminal not in keeping with surroundings. Don't think one big ship an advantage - therefore not need to increase the size of the harbour. Few passengers in winter so ship nearly empty. Often inhospitable for that many people to travel on deck. Doorway roof vulnerable to wind and too obtrusive.</p>	<p>Some shelter and greater ease in baggage collection may be of benefit to passengers. Not convinced that new scheme adds sufficient advantage for freight operations to make it worth the money. Don't see how the changes will bring added benefits or revenue to the town. Someone recently commented to me, "Penzance is a town that faces away from the sea". There is scope for events on the prom and for improving the facilities available on/opposite the prom. Consultation in July missed by most of the populace, as busiest season.</p>
<p>A waste of public money for a scheme which will not enhance any of Penzance facilities. I draw your attention to the scheme presented by the Penzance Harbour Users Association of relocating Harbour car park to South East side of harbour seaward side of railway in fill up to Gulvar stream as per PHUA.</p>	<p>This scheme only enhances the shipping company, laudable on the Isle of Scilly but not Penzance. The scheme to infill on the seaward of the Railway Station to make a car park, reclaim land for Scillies operation storage etc. A breakwater outside all to incorporate a larger quay for Scillonian and marina mooring leaving existing harbour as a tourist attraction.</p>
<p>Not attractive or in keeping with adjacent Jubilee Pool. Too modernistic. Needs to be more sympathetic and sensitive to immediate surroundings.</p>	<p>If this is a fait accompli, the least that should be done is to make it less of an eyesore. Loss of view and juxtaposition to the pool are major issues here.</p>
<p>The location is wrong. You asked the public opinion in 2004. This was to locate by the railway station. Why ask if you don't listen.</p>	
<p>The need for improved facilities is accepted; I am concerned at the impact of the foot passengers entrance on the triangular civic space between the gardens and the Jubilee Pool and specifically the substantial reduction in the 180 degree view across Mounts Bay and St Michaels Mount - the entrance needs to be more modest and set back farther into the site to reduce the loss of the promenade near to the bay.</p>	<p>The current canopy entrance design/position suggest a far grander public building rather than a restricted access semi-private use. A more modest entrance and less intrusion on the public space and much less loss of view for this important 'hinge point' on the Penzance waterfront.</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>The designs of the passenger buildings are ugly and far too modern for the area. Access is still very, very poor.</p>	<p>This is a much needed facility and not enough care and thought has been put into it. Development of and from the Albert Pier would have been much more appropriate.</p>
<p>a) Poor design of buildings, especially passenger terminal. I don't like canopy with tubular supports. b) Difficult to work out how much of the view will be obliterated from promenade and surrounding area. c) Freight facilities obviously needed - where will coaches and taxis stop and pick up?</p>	<p>Not impressed with this design at all. Would much prefer freight and passengers using the other pier if depth of water could be improved? Straight access from rail/bus terminal. This plan merely exacerbates the danger to public on a dangerous corner and industrialises and ruins a wonderful view from tourist part of town.</p>
<p>Distraught. No Vision. No thought of current tourism Penzance. Filled with commercialism. This plan was rejected by the people in 2004, so why is it there now?</p>	
<p>This proposal is appalling. Just how does it benefit Penzance apart from preserving a shipping link? Penzance harbour is a wonderful but grossly under-used asset. The new harbour development should be near Albert Pier and incorporate a marina. Wasn't that the one that was chosen by the last 'consultation'? What happened in the intervening years? This is all about the cheapest option to preserve a boat. It is not about what is of benefit to Penzance or its future.</p>	<p>If the last consultation chose a completely different design, what is the point of this exercise? This is a done deal! By people who do not have to live looking at a concrete monstrosity.</p>
<p>Ugly and spoils the view of the Mount and there will be a big traffic problem.</p>	<p>I think that this plan should not go ahead and I think that there should be more thought on others such as the Harbour Users Association Plan.</p>
<p>Aesthetically the design is appalling with no empathy for the character of the existing harbour, which is a tourist attraction or sympathy for views which will vanish; views are also a tourist attraction though the architect claimed that all proposals are to blend with the art deco pool and nearby yacht, the buildings look more like post war utility. Ultimately I do not believe that the alterations will fulfil their proposed purpose and an important and historic area of the town will have been desecrated.</p>	

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
Do not approve.	Undemocratic. Who wants it? We are being asked about decisions that are already made and will not be changed.
	I think it's in the wrong place. It looks all wrong. I think it's awful.
Dreadful. I do not want any of this to happen. I feel very upset and depressed that the old original area of Penzance is to be concreted over and the lovely outer harbour wall is to be hidden. The view from the old wall will be compromised. The design is too modern. This whole plan destroys the lovely, peaceful original Penzance beach, the Barbican buildings and area will be totally spoilt. Take this somewhere else, rethink the whole plan. Leave this area as it is.	This will ruin Penzance. I do not feel enough thought has gone into other solutions. Is this really necessary?
I am speechless that anyone could contemplate going ahead with such a selfish and short term design. Penzance deserves better than this to take it forward. It should be near the station not in the oldest part of town.	I've lived in xxxxxxxx for 35 years. I belong to the friends of Jubilee Pool. I have a holiday business which relies on the views and quiet walks around the historic harbour. I am outraged!
Too focussed on needs for Scillonian. No improvement for harbour users. Poor design of buildings.	Review other options.
An abomination, not even designed to fit in with anything Cornish. So ugly.	Why can't the lorries use the Wherrytown car park? They could be called up to town by mobile phone. Problem solved. No building on the beautiful promenade!
No comments - There is not sufficient background on why the proposal is needed to enable comment to be made. Why that location rather than expanding some other area of the harbour? Why do we need 2 ships?	To lose the beach and the area next to the Jubilee pool will be a disaster for Penzance visitors and us who live here and regularly use that facility.
Not happy. Appreciate the facilities for Scilly passengers need updating for H&S reasons but unimpressed with proposed design.	Is it impossible to design something that continues to provide a good view of the mount and sympathetic to town's architecture.
I am deeply saddened by it. No comments on the St Mary's side as I only know Penzance very well. This whole design is misplaced (literally - if we have to have this scheme it shouldn't be in this location) I understand this is the plan rejected back in 2004 as	Please reconsider and if you must have a new facility please consider some less intrusive alternative eg east by the station, with freight facilities etc design to harmonise with Penzance. Please consider a broader plan to enhance the pool/promenade area.

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
something nobody wanted, so why do we have to have it now?	
The design is the problem. That canopy will be an eyesore from the start, let alone when the weather gets to it.	We must not be against change, but who will benefit? Only the operators of the Scilly Link. Not the people of Penzance. The scheme does not make Penzance more attractive to visitors.
It is a big shame to obscure the beautiful harbour, wall and obliterate Battery Beach. This should not be happening at the expense of natural beauty.	There is a need to explain why the project must go ahead. What benefits will it bring? Will they outweigh the costs? I personally think it is criminal to destroy the harbour front any more. We want Penzance to stay beautiful!
It is awful, must not be put there. This is our beach and rocks, leave it alone. There is plenty of space east of Albert Pier and much more suitable for a freight terminal.	Not enough consultation with Civic Groups.
I do not think it makes sense to combine passenger and freight on one ship, which will get worn out in the winter when there are few passengers (or were in the past. That is why the Scillonian is laid up in the Winter). I think the design of the freight/passenger facility is not good - it, beyond doubt, should not be where you have put it.	Why was the Albert Pier not considered? Traffic is too great around the Dolphin already, and you are proposing to add extra lorries. The Promenade/Jubilee Pool are a part of Penzance's attractions and should not be lost or ruined. Why must the current ships be replaced anyway?
It would be a catastrophe for this precious area of Penzance town. The design does not fit in with the ambience of this place. Impact of view, Jubilee Pool, War Memorial.	I do not believe this facility is necessary. It would destroy this precious area of the promenade. This scheme must not go ahead. Leave the granite wall given to the people of Penzance.
My main concerns are: 1. Loss of view of St Michaels Mount from east end of the prom 2. Loss of Battery Rocks Beach - many seabirds, seals, crabbing area for kids	
Ugly. Out of keeping with town. Would have a negative impact on Penzance.	What a shame to ruin a beautiful place. I was proposed to on this spot because of the beautiful view. Now all I'd see is a glorified shed.
Disaster for Penzance.	The Route Partnership has come up with the worst possible option for Penzance, in spite of hundreds of thousands of pounds being given to Hyder Consulting (UK) and others. They have chosen the wrong boat that cannot be economically viable, is not faster nor will it be a

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?

General Comments

significantly more comfortable journey for passengers - all criteria that Hyder stated were required. The residents of Penzance and Cornwall rate payers are expected to cough up £20 million to pay for it to guarantee the funding from the Department for Transport for harbour works when local hospitals are having services steadily cut. It removes no traffic congestion from Penzance. They propose a development that is detrimental to Penzance seafront and will destroy a valuable public amenity - the beach and inter tidal area of Battery Rocks valued as an educational resource. It will destroy the setting of listed structures - the South Pier, Jubilee Pool and Promenade and associated listed buildings. It is inappropriate and disrespectful to the War Memorial to those who gave up their lives in two World Wars. It destroys the Holy Headland (Pen Sans) after which Penzance was named. This proposal is driven by the same motives that have caused the collapse of the economy - never mind if it goes to the wall the tax payer will foot the bill, even though the historic interest and context of the sea front will have been destroyed for ever. I and most people in Penzance object to the Route Partnership plan and see it as not the best use of tax and rate payers money.

Appalling - no-one could advocate this desecration of the most historic part of Penzance. Warehousing, lorry park churning out diesel fumes of the Battery Rocks/Jubilee Pool, where families with young children spend the day. What an ugly sight from every angle. The wall is a gem and to break through a disgrace. A total eyesore.

This is a disgrace to Penzance - we pride ourselves on being a tourist attraction yet are planning to destroy our best asset. Who wants to spend the day near a glorified lorry park? You will destroy Penzance completely. Why is the terminal not being built east of Albert Pier. Where an arm could be built out to protect the existing harbour mouth and a road from Chyandour through to the prom road build across the reclaimed area. Lorries wouldn't need to even come into Penzance and the train terminal is right there. Please don't destroy our historic Penzance with this unpopular proposal. You must not take away our quiet, private beach and battery rocks. One of the few remaining places of peace and quiet in Penzance.

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
Dreadful.	People who designed this do not love Penzance.
Certainly an improvement on current provisions. Why was a site further east not chosen - Where the Scillonian currently docks in bad weather - to avoid all the traffic/trucks crossing the Ross bridge.	The people of Penzance need/deserve to be given a much bigger picture of how this project will impact life in their town and the rest of the harbour activities - so much potential for development not being utilised. This has a piecemeal feel - better facilities for the terrorists yes, but what about the rest.
If this is the best idea that Penwith can come up with, they don't deserve to keep the Scilly Link in Penzance.	I really don't think the planners have thought this through at all. A beautiful corner of Penzance will be lost forever and traffic congestion will be increased for a few months of the year.
It is a monstrous, horrific proposal and I strongly oppose it. The harbour users alternative proposal is much better on the long rock scheme.	It is disgusting that this proposal is being 'sneaked' through with minimal information released to the public, no-one informed of the day. You will all be voted 'out of office' if this goes through.
I feel this is in the wrong area and does nothing for Penzance. The plan proposed by the Harbour Association is much more appropriate.	I am not happy with this plan for Penzance. It is not situated in the right area.
A very flimsy looking, modern, airport style building in place of a historic structure. This area is the oldest part of Penzance and originally, was Penzance. An area to the east of Albert Pier is a much more suitable site for a terminal, closer to bus and station facilities as an already spoiled by pumping station.	Poor choice of site, which takes little account of traffic handling problems along narrow harbour road with even narrower bridge. The developing café area along Wharf side needs less traffic and use of site suggested above would help achieve this.
Totally irresponsible. Will not solve problem with berthing of ships, not sufficient protection. Ship will bang on bottom at low water due to swell. Unsightly buildings on sea front.	In general, unsuitable design from start to finish.
I can see why the passenger and baggage handling facilities need to be improved. However, I am not in favour of the environmental impact on the Battery Rocks area. This is a historical area and part of our heritage and I forecast strong objections to this part of the scheme.	
	I object to this proposal - please do not go ahead with this development
Yet another steamroller action. Listen to local voice.	

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
Should be moved to the lighthouse end of the pier, which could be widened, leaving the beach and old wall as they are.	Penzance is a lovely place and should be treated with care. Too many bad planning decisions have been made in the past. The pool and Battery Rocks area is a unique facility for swimmers and attractive to visitors and should not be spoilt.
I think it would be better suited near the railway station and bus station, making use of car park and facilities. Rather than put more strain on Ross Bridge and in front of The Dolphin.	A lot of passing from one person to the next, with no-one giving firm answers.
Inappropriate positioning - as a key swimmer at Jubilee Pool, a stunning listed facility, I object to the destruction of the view, the pollution and the disturbance of a beautiful corner of Penzance.	Is there no other place for an upgraded facility?
OK	Not required, or of any use to town.
Terrible. A blot on Penzance's landscape. Penzance has a promenade and a 1930's bathing pool, this is almost our only claim to fame. Do not ruin the view from the prom with this terrible building. You loose the view of Mount and some of the bay itself.	I accept that link with IOS cannot be lost. It is vital for Scillonians. But put terminal in a place not to jeopardise any beauty Penzance still retains.
Ugly. Needs re-siting in Albert Dock. Inappropriate. How can you rob Penzance of its fine view of the beach which is regularly used by swimmers. Lots of people walk there to admire the views, myself included.	
The design/design and functionality concepts should only be considered in conjunction with other potentially interested developers in the harbour area ie developers of Coinage Hall Street site. It is important to see how one development impacts upon another both functionally, visually and as a public amenity. There should be a "Master Plan" for the whole harbour for all interested parties to input to and adhere to. We do not want to have piecemeal development. We also do not want to compromise other interested parties - because of the lack of consultation. The Isles of Scilly terminal operation has to be designed as a central operation, in conjunction with other	

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
development possibilities and is what is usually acceptable to the public. It has often been suggested that Wharf Road be diverted up front of the Yacht Inn so that St Anthony's Gardens site can then re-connect to the Jubilee Pool Site as a rejuvenated public area. I think it is important not to compromise this possibility.	
Design appears to have been driven by architects! Not in keeping with this iconic/historically important part of Penzance. Destroys crucial open space, aesthetics, and regional important wildlife site (winter roost for 150 purple sandpipers). Marketed as reclamation! This is destruction.	Do not understand that environmental impacts already covered by the HRO and thus already agreed with statutory consultees as the HRO did not appear to cover important birds conservation impacts. This omission requires that a fresh EIA, under the EIA regulations is carried out. (ie, not enough to include the monitoring in the future 2008 - 2009). Not necessary anyway as the birdlife - especially the winter roost numbers of purple sandpipers are recorded annually by Birders (national and local!)
In the wrong place!! Any placement should be to the east of the Albert pier, avoiding the Ross Bridge and harbour area entirely with road access over the railway.	The people responsible for proceeding this far should be fired forthwith.
Disappointed that the view will be lost. No objection in principle. Couldn't Vosper's/Art Gallery be used as the passenger building. Once the view is lost, its lost forever. Not keen on the modern look of the passenger terminal. Don't think it will age well, not in keeping with the area.	The view is outstanding and at all costs should be kept. It should be looked after for future generations.
This will ruin the historic area of Penzance. What of the pool, also? There has been no consideration as to marriage of old with new. The plan will be a permanent eyesore.	No public consultation. No local representation. How can licence and consent be anticipated? This is not anything to do with debate.
Dreadful - The most historic part of Penzance will be turned into a huge lorry terminal pumping out diesel fumes of the listed Jubilee pool and bringing yet more vehicles onto the main road (at present they at least are on the arm of harbour). Tourism is our only industry left. This will destroy the Battery Rocks for children rock-	The ugly warehouses are unnecessary as freight could be left at Long Rock (Castlemarine) and brought in as necessary. Why, when only 13% of voters agreed the proposal (the majority voting for the East of Albert Pier) was the decision made for the least attractive. No, No, No to this proposal.

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
pooling/adults swimming. A real disgrace.	
Would like to have been given a choice of alternative rather than "this is it"	Not even enough consultation. We just get given what you want. You should put in place what people want.
I dislike it intensely. It is most unimaginative and totally out of keeping with existing architecture and conveys nothing of the Cornish Riviera. Functionality over beauty. The sea wall is a joke - everything will get very wet and salty during a storm.	Ugly, insensitive. The comments page on your website does not work.
Where is the alternative proposal? It should be on view.	My wife and I would like to see a design using the area by the bus station and railway site which would not spoil the view or the beach.
Too intrusive on the old style and views of an historic harbour	The modern building at the battery rock end is ghastly and already looks dated.
Unnecessary. Misplaced. Ugly. Cheap. A pointless loss of a highly strategic location in the beautiful and historic corner between the seafront and harbour area.	A. This option was rejected at a similar consultation in 2004. B. It does nothing for Penzance, but takes away a precious amenity C. It is inferior in every way to the Penzance Harbour Users scheme, which is the one we should be considering.
This proposal has little benefit for the town and no provision for future development for small boat/yacht usage, or other leisure usage. This design takes away one of the most important parts of this ancient town, spoils the view over the bay and brings modern "tat" into a most protected area.	The PHUA is a better idea for the benefit of our community. This plan benefits only the Isles of Scilly owners/landlords. If this plan goes ahead it will exacerbate the traffic conditions around 'The Dolphin' - any here for the Isles Of Scilly should be based next to the bus and train stations.
Will spoil the view. Could have used council owned property behind St Anthony's garden as passenger terminal and brought traffic behind St Anthony's garden, making area between pool etc and gardens traffic free. Terminal could be put up anywhere - "Cornish" design needed.	More than one boat needed - if it breaks down - what then? 2 or 3 smaller boats could give better service. Boat too large for dry dock, taking business and jobs away from Penzance.
No it is not in keeping with the buildings of the area and displays a lack of sensitivity. More suited to Luton airport.	They are my general comments above.
Whatever building goes on this site would be wrong. Canopy protrudes too far. Too pointed. Art deco is rounded -	The quay works at the moment. It may be quaint and people get wet, but its Penzance not Poole or Southampton. If it has to be done - No. It

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>Jubilee Pool has curves. I think it is monstrous and will ruin the very sensitive area around Mounts Bay. One of the most beautiful bays in the world.</p>	<p>doesn't have to be done.</p>
<p>Absolutely disgraceful. I swim regularly at Battery Rocks and I find it a great place to unwind from my stressful job. It is a place of calm and beauty. This development will completely ruin an area of outstanding natural beauty. This will never ever be able to be replaced!!</p>	<p>I feel this idea is to fill some people's pockets with money. And this reason will disregard any other! This application should be stopped. Why not use the area behind Albert Pier and station rocks instead. Leave our beach alone!</p>
<p>The siting of the facilities is wrong. They threaten to destroy the historic harbour centre of Penzance. Freight lorries and coaches should NOT be taken into this area. The facility should be formed by the railway/bus station to keep heavy traffic out of the town. The beach should be protected. This project turns it back on the wider picture, we must consider the harbour as a whole, traffic flows, protection of the harbour.</p>	<p>The proposed buildings are not suitable, they propose industrial sheds and freight storage where we now have a beach and historic harbour wall, buildings and views of the bay. The designs are light and flimsy they do not reflect the solidity of this area. The local community has not been adequately consulted and their opinions have not been considered. It is imperative that the scope of the scheme is extended to involve consideration of the harbour, the approach, traffic flows. I feel very angry that the beach will be lost - it is the only real beach in Penzance. A development of this scale should not be determined on cost alone. I urge you to consider the Harbour User's Scheme, which seems far more sensible.</p>
<p>I believe the Harbour Users proposal, significantly ignored by both CCC and PDC, to be more practical and environmentally sensitive. There are sea condition issues not taken into account by this scheme - which seems to be a 'done deal' anyway - so the two public awareness days are merely 'window dressing'. PDC has a history of short sighted policies - I recall they even wanted to let the Jubilee Pool fall into disuse. Now PDC will be the 'owners' of the new boat (and why not think catamaran) The public were never informed about their viability, us taxpayers</p>	<p>Obviously the Scilly Islanders feel this idea is good - it's a 'win-win' situation for them. Taking into account the proposed harbour freight entrance, coach parking etc, this is an ill-conceived plan - and as for hauling 'armour' sea defences from Norway - really!</p>
<p>The design is awful. Looks like a public toilet anywhere - and it obliterates one of the finest views that Penzance has.</p>	<p>I can't see how this benefits the people of Penzance. It seems to be for the benefit of the Ferry Company. Passenger comfort and safety could</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
	be addressed within the existing harbour structures.
Appalling. Ugly and unnecessary.	Destructive and unnecessary development - vandalism - criminal. I am totally opposed to this plan.
Not happy. Quality of views has been taken away. Very disrespectful to the quality of life of the people of Penzance.	A dismal proposal. The whole thing needs to be rethought.
I believe that the final design is sympathetic to the local commercial/natural environment given the commercial constraints of a working harbour/port.	Fully support the proposal. Hope it goes ahead to ensure the economic viability of harbour and the associated employment its supports. Also, to maintain the tourist industry.
I would prefer to see a more dramatic design that would provide a contrast to what currently exists and to be more attractive to residents and visitors.	The sooner the project commences the better for all of us to provide the life blood of the harbour/town.
It is horrible and destructive. Penzance Harbour Users have a much better plan.	Go with Penzance Harbour Users Plan. For the sake of the environment and Mounts Bay, the history, heritage, tourism and hey, last but not least, the local people. Don't do this plan as shown tonight.
Very poor.	Concerned about pier design and lack of thought for ferry band weather shelter.
Not perfect, don't like loss of views, but in general good idea. PS Need to move pedestrian crossing PPS Like the windmills! PPPS Think about the view	Very patient staff
how many other plans have been proposed? The present project is destroying the view towards Marazion and see Mount.	I would like to see the project revised, with more public input. Traffic and transport coming in the town must be quantified.
Not too happy, but a reasonable compromise if the IOS Co moves out of the inner harbour and the Rank building. Traffic will still be a problem - lorries and coaches still coming through the town. - Which the Albert Pier option would avoid. Why can't granite - Portuguese if necessary be used rather than textured concrete?	
The public do not appear to see any benefit of this project. In reality the North Arm and Rank building could be reused for more amenable	Why can't the main road be diverted to go through the space between the Dock and Barbican? By going through St Anthony Gardens, it

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
activities.	would enable the garden, road and Jubilee Pool to be developed as one public space.
I feel it is too much money on one thing. I don't know who - what proportion - of the IOS and Penzance population it benefits. It obscures our views of Mounts Bay and hijacks public spaces.	Too much money for too little benefit.
The lorries should terminate at an out of town depot and freight brought in as required, eliminating large lorries from the wharf road completely. The terminal is not in keeping with the area and is obscuring a beautiful view of the bay that people enjoy.	Also, a beach that is used by families for many years is being covered by concrete for good and a stretch of water used for swimming for generations taken away.
Totally against the whole concept of the Penzance scheme - both in terms of the loss of a lovely, popular beach, and also the architectural rubbish being put forward - that 'shelter' is abysmal.	Not going to comment on St Mary's proposals, purely because I don't live there, so feel it is not within my right to make any opinion.
The design is not the problem. The problem is the destruction of the cove at Battery Rocks. This is an exceptionally beautiful part of Penzance - peaceful, at the heart of town, still almost wild, much visited.	Very disappointed that no details of the previous options for the quay are presented here.
In general, favourable (both Penzance and St Mary's) Main concern is the canopy entrance to the new passenger terminal. We feel firstly that it is unnecessary insofar as the design over-projects from the building. A softer shade would be preferable while still providing a covered area for the busier volumes of people. Change the design from an aggressive arrow like canopy to a softer sail-like design.	Definitely in favour of these plans, both in Penzance and St Mary's. Excellent treatment of freight traffic, removing blockage from alongside the old lighthouse museum. Wonderful to see the Jubilee Pool is unaffected
Poorly thought out and far too limited. It's another example of a council without any vision. Even if an overall plan, taking in the need for small boat harbour and the use of Penzance as a working port, with repair and maintenance facilities and a natural way point on way to Scillies from Falmouth is not immediately funded, we need to see the council with a larger bolder plan..	
Can see no problem with design or construction proposed.	Staff informative and helpful.

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>Appalling - we have a beautiful view from that area and a place where children need supervising to cross roads - pleasing lorry drivers and making access easy for them and jeopardising safety is not sensible. It is an ugly building with no merit.</p>	<p>Think again - You could do better.</p>
<p>I don't like angular designs! Nature has many beautiful shapes and designs which would be more in keeping. Even a retro-deco design would fit better in the surroundings. One major concern is the siting of the terminal in such a picturesque place. It has probably been a difficult decision to locate, but it's a pity it is here.</p>	<p>I can see the need for progress and capital investment in the infrastructure. I just hope that it is not at the expense of some of the aspects we have treasured for so long. Is there an alternative?</p>
<p>It would ruin Penzance - in the wrong place and we'll fight it all the way.</p>	<p>Our village of Paul is ruined by the noise of the helicopter going to the Scillies and now our beautiful promenade and pool will be ruined</p>
<p>I think it does not fix the current traffic problems in the area, eg heavy transport dropping off for Scilly's. Also, people arriving for Scillonian. Also it is not making the most of one of Penzance's biggest assets, its seafront. Instead it is taking away a lovely view and detracting for this area.</p>	
<p>The whole scheme is a disgraceful con-trick perpetrated by deceitful con artists whose only intention is to extort taxpayer's money. Euro MP's devise meaningless, irrelevant "white elephant" legislation and regulations under the guise of so-called health & safety and spurious terrorist threats/needs. Once passed they can then allocate vast sums of Euros in a budget to carry out the "necessary" development. This so-called necessary development will of course be carried out by contractors who pay them kickbacks/bribes to get the work. Either that or companies specially chosen who have MEP's and local government officers/councillors on their boards, so they can reap the harvest of tax payer's money, ripped off to pay for the whole shoddy despicable con trick.</p>	<p>None</p>
<p>I believe there are more viable and forward thinking (on a larger and</p>	<p>Short sighted. A good exhibition but we should have other proposals</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>more long-term basis) plans/proposals that would be beneficial to the future of Penzance and its harbour. The new depot looks like it would date and dilapidate quickly; I think the idea of one big boat wouldn't work if it was to break down, what then? How would the rest of the harbour benefit and improve? And, at this cost the marine life that is built on suffers.</p>	<p>put on like this for the people of Penzance to consider and comment on. What about the proposals of Trythall Shipping?</p>
	<p>I am disabled and cannot make the St John's Hall on Tuesday so have sent my protest in by proxy. This plan is stupid and whoever thought it up should have their ass kicked!</p>
<p>I feel that the current design and location will have a detrimental effect on the harbour, the local environment, usage by local people. Golowan Festival - important locally and strategically.</p>	<p>The current design will prevent the festival from operating. The harbour is the traditional home of the festival. The proposal would prevent that continued usage. And relocation to the wharf side car park is not an option.</p>
<p>The large amount of airport seating and modern building materials doesn't fit in with the rest of Penzance's traditional architecture. The problem of passenger/freight facilities could be solved by developing existing structures near the railway station and Long Rock. The obliteration of the view of Mounts Bay should not be allowed.</p>	<p>I do not understand why a new dock is needed with thousands of tons of concrete being put in the sea when facilities could be developed nearer Long Rock. Also, does Penzance and the Scilly Isles need more traffic, HGV's, passengers when the infrastructure struggles already and the isolation of Penwith which is why it is so special is being sacrificed for hardly any extra local jobs, a boat that is being built abroad, and a couple of years of drilling, traffic, lorries involved in the construction process and traffic caused by the workforce getting to Battery Rocks. Also, this area of wall is of historic importance and the swimmers have been using this area of beach as well as locals and tourists alike for generations. It seems a huge waste of £24m, plus that could be spent in this area far more usefully. Who actually is benefiting?</p>
<p>I object very strongly to having a section of the view of the bay obstructed by the shelter for Coach passengers. Has the St Anthony Garden car park been considered as an alternative? I realise the disadvantage of being on the other side of the road, but presumably coaches will arrive from East and West?</p>	<p>It would be better to site the whole complex on the other side of the harbour and well away from the promenade and Battery rocks.</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
Dreadful - concrete - looks temporary - will not withstand bad weather - will deteriorate quickly as its concrete block and not granite. Looks like an inferior bus shelter.	Will create more traffic not less. There will be lorries moving at all times around the barbican area. The Ross Bridge is not man enough to hold the entire traffic. Surely a plan to keep traffic out of the area ie around the council owned cattle market, before you get into town, would be more sensible.
The view from seaward of the entire pier and granite structure to the Battery will be lost. The view towards Long Rock, Eastern Green and Marazion will be lost or compromised. The building itself is functional and reasonable when considered on its own, but less so when it obscures such lovely views.	It turns the Penzance Harbour frontage into anywhere, UK, losing a distinct and well loved view which cannot be replaced.
Poor, hideous buildings, taking away the best view from the Promenade into the Bay, St Michael's Mount and beyond. Why have other locations not been considered, eg Albert Pier, near Railway Station - giving passenger links and keeping HGV's to east end of town? Why spoil the promenade area? Could some of the car park not be taken and put more parking into the old gas works site, still empty!!!	There is need for improvement, but this scheme seems to have taken no account for Penzance, its people and any common sense.
The design is out of keeping with the surroundings and the site is inappropriate. It will spoil the existing harbour and site of natural beauty beyond the sea wall.	Why isn't the terminal placed by the car park side of the harbour, which is already very ugly and would benefit from redesign? It would facilitate travel links to be closer to the train and bus station. Public feeling is that this is not a consultation but a presentation of plans that will proceed regardless of public opinion.
The think the elevations planned for the new buildings could be more sympathetic to the Victorian architecture of the nearby structures that is for Penzance. The modern designs proposed seem to cause offence to local residents in Penzance.	I am an annual visitor to West Cornwall for the best part of 4 decades; and used to be a regular visitor to the Isles of Scilly. But the high costs of staying there now are a deterrent. An issue probably not resolved without a major annual subsidy to ameliorate the high freight costs. If Scottish Ferries can be subsidised, why not the Penzance/Isles of Scilly boat? Undoubtedly the service to Scilly needs improving. Local jobs in Penwith linked to the service need this improvement. But, more visitors need prices held down. With rising fuel costs the proposed new

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
	ship may become an underused white elephant without its cost of operation being supported by an annual subsidy.
I think its criminal to build a car park in an area of natural outstanding beauty! Swim off Battery Rocks every day and I think that this development will be a complete eye sore.	Why not develop in the area behind Albert Pier and Station Rocks.
Passenger "drop off" point with canopy (in Art Deco Style) will spoil seafront and contribute to traffic chaos. The concreting over of a much used and favourite small beach is wrong. Tourist come to admire Penzance as it is and not all want to go to the Scillies.	Move the freight storage facility to Long Rock which is already industrial and put the new harbour at Chyandour Cliff near the railway station.
Not sure.	
A lot of money being wasted. Cornish do not like change for the worst.	Battery area to remain the same ie not altered
Horrid. Words can't describe.	We do not want this
I am satisfied	Seems a good proposal. Making the most of an underused area.
The infringement of the passenger buildings and its design is totally out of character with the environment.	
Diabolical - Over one million pounds wasted of tax payers money, including mine already! This is not democratic. All boats serving the Scilly Isles should be moved to Falmouth thus releasing all existing facilities for fishing boats and pleasure craft.	
I like the attention to detail and effort to tie in with the Art Deco buildings in the area. I personally don't feel it impinges too much on the surrounding area.	I've slight concerns on the economic viability of the vessel operating a full 12 months.
Understand the need to extend the quay and "fill in the triangle" for the new terminal, but is its design (upward tilting roof and angled supports) the best we can do? How will it be with high wind and rain?	Curves not sharp edges on the terminal. So much easier on the eye??
In short time I had available to look, I don't have many concerns on the design side.	I am concerned about the impact of this scheme on the environment around Battery Rocks/Jubilee Pool. As an occasional swimmer and

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
	more frequent walker (including the bit of foreshore included in the development) it will detract from pleasant atmosphere at present.
Nothing wrong with it. Looks contemporary which is good. Not too high, seems to fit in with "landscape" perfectly well. Not too obtrusive. Will encourage visitors to wander about and enjoy what Penzance has got.	Get on with it. I would just like to see it done and done well. Some people talk about alternatives. ie docking near sewage pump house. Ridiculous. A. Good surfing here for long boards and beginners. B. Would not encourage people into town. People don't like change but the big picture is much more important than the sentimental nonsense that I have heard.
The design is ok, but is this necessary?	Why do the freight and passenger services need to be linked? Do we need a larger ferry? I think this project could be situated on Albert Quay- nearer the car park and station.
Plans put new development in completely the wrong place. This area is one of the "jewels" of Penzance - why are we planning to subject it to more concrete, cars etc? I strongly object to the proposals.	Why is such a large replacement for the Scillonian proposed? What research has been done into likely passenger numbers? I suspect that a few peak weeks part, the vessel will be sailing with many empty seats. Can such a large development really be justified simply to benefit a few for a short period, to the detriment of the masses? The loss of the small 'beach' area between Battery Rocks and the harbour will disadvantage children who learn to canoe with Penzance Canoe Club (adults too), who traditionally use that stretch of beach to practice safety measures eg 'capsize' and towing canoes safely to the beach. It is also one of the few places to swim from easily in Penzance. The whole development will seriously spoil a current spot of natural beauty, to the detriment of those enjoying it (eg Jubilee Pool Users and Rock pool, cenotaph etc). I note the development won't actually hinder access to these areas, but its very presence will detract from and diminish the current enjoyable experience. Scottish Islands manage without such large developments - why should be different? I appreciate a replacement for the Scillonian may be needed, but would prefer proposals on the lines of keeping a new boat to existing size, and this allowing present facilities to be

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
	retained without a need for further development. Is this development really necessary? What will be gained? Can this really be balanced against all that will be lost, environmentally, culturally and aesthetically? I would argue that our loss greatly outweighs any potential gain. What about transport access to the area, suspect traffic congestion will increase. Please do not go ahead with this proposal; retain Penzance's small town charms. Please save Penzance's beach.
Typical unimaginative ugly development, which does nothing for the Penzance Harbour area. In fact, the loss of the small beach behind the wall is greater than any gain.	Freight handling and containerisation in Long Rock seems a better option.
It is a bad idea.	
The South Pier of Penzance Harbour is listed, and I am therefore strongly opposed to any development of the area. Penzance also gets its name from "Pens Sans" (ie this corner of the town) and concreting over it would be a travesty.	
Better than previous, but the loss of Battery Rocks beach remains an unacceptable infringement upon a treasured natural space, that is well-used by the public.	Please could you make it feel that our views are really desired; because at the moment it feels like this is not real consultation, but a gesture.
Absolutely terrible. It must not go ahead. Leave the beach, the environment alone. Do not touch Battery Rocks.	This is part of Penzance not to be changed.
My experience of Penzance harbour as a berth holder suggests that the proposed extension to the lighthouse pier will not provide adequate increased protection to the Scillonian berth in winter SE storm conditions. The vessel will face severe swell movement - it will be untenable because the extension is too short.	
Ugly, modern excrescence! Should be of granite and toned in to existing walls perhaps with shaping referring to 'Barbican' Fortress style. Canopy totally wrong - make it out of granite pillars to blend.	Don't need this - if forced to have it, make it out of granite.

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>I thought this was a consultation and our views important! Simply - it is not worth trading a beach for some nice seating. The delivery area is in need of improvement, but with the will and care and imagination, I'm sure a solution could be found which is sensitive to existing use and does not require filling in a chunk of beach.</p>	<p>This scheme stands to benefit the contractors and not the people of Penzance and Scilly. It has been kept out of public scrutiny in an underhand way - rather than engaging in a true community construction. You have got this very wrong.</p>
<p>Mainly favourable except in one respect. The passenger terminal is obstructing far too much of a view of iconic status, namely St Michael's Mount and Bay. This is no ordinary view, it is a nationally recognisable one and it is the only unobstructed view along Penzance front of St Michael's Mount, until you reach the other side of the train station. Tourism is the one income in this part of Cornwall and this view is integral to the reason that tourists visit this area.</p>	
<p>I like the design; it is stylish and pleasing. It's just in the wrong place! Look at the photo of the peninsula! At present there are two distinct areas! The pool and beach on one side and the harbour on the other. We need keep these two separate areas.</p>	<p>As a regular user of the Scillonian, I appreciate the need for better facilities and probably a new boat, but quite why we need extensive lounges, café, etc, normally we arrive, check in and get straight on the boat!</p>
<p>Absolute confusion. No understanding being displayed of the traffic management changes recently introduced in the town and how this impact these proposals - you appear to be inviting one bottleneck to join another. No-one was able to answer questions about how or where the necessary stone was to be sourced either - the costings appear to be very "outline" for this stage of a development of this size.</p>	<p>When is the public debate over this?</p>
<p>On what's been explained and information given, the harbour area is going to be very busy with increased transport and weaken an already sub-standard Ross Bridge. The harbour is the oldest part of Penzance and to put a modern concrete wall in place and lose the history of old Penzance will be lost forever.</p>	<p>Change and increase in the IOS operations are inevitable, but not enough thought has been put into this scheme.</p>
<p>I feel the design is inappropriate with the surroundings, being in an</p>	<p>I totally disagree with the positioning of this terminal. It should be built</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
old and historic area of the town. Especially concrete simulated granite! Also, obliterating beautiful views across the bay.	over near the other transport links (ie bus and train station) over by Albert Pier. Thus eliminating traffic issues through historic harbour area.
Terrible - such a beautiful view spoilt. Listed wall will be completely covered. Coastline will be spoilt. Looks to be a complete eyesore, with no advantages. A mass of concrete to replace a beautiful view!	Seems to be no benefit to the people of Penzance. Will not ease the traffic at all. Appalling.
No, no, no. This must not happen. Leave the beautiful Penzance alone.	Absolutely outrageous. What is plan B? Move this monstrosity along. Keep it off the beach and rock.
In a nutshell, the proposed scheme would block the present wonderful view from Battery Road across Mount's Bay to St Michael's Mount with a chunk of industrial estate. It makes me want to weep! The sheer insensitivity of it! I walk around here and that view is a highlight. Aspects are hopelessly over-designed. The departure lounge seems to be roughly the same as the one at Newquay Airport, which has/will have a far greater throughput of passengers.	Very destructive to the amenity and character of Penzance which are valued by local people in their own right and as attractions to tourists. I find both of the two alternatives, the Harbour User's Scheme and Mr Cartwright's - far more sensitive and superior.
A ridiculous amount of money and will ruin what is left of a very wonderful Penzance. More thought could have gone into the plans. It is one of our major assets the sea. Has someone from the council a personal interest in the development?	The new Scillonian should dock on the Albert Pier where there are easy road/rail links and car parking.
I have nothing against a new quay, but I don't think such a big passenger facility is needed. There is one crossing a day with passengers 6 months of the year. This building will be empty 99% of the time. You could save money and keep a nice part of Penzance as it is.	Penzance does need regeneration, but this is not the right thing to do.
Passenger Terminal: Ugly, imposing will destroy the elegant sweeping views of the bay (looking from the west). A very special and important part of Penzance. Truly ugly design - with all the	Not enough time for the town's people of Penzance to look and form an opinion on this important new work. Not nearly enough!

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
architects who are now building sea-related properties these days - Why such a lack of thought?	
The design of the new terminal is 'old hat'. The Architect needs to go to Europe and see what is new and exciting architecturally. Inappropriate use of varied materials makes for a dated look. Incidentally he did not put in an appearance whilst I was attending this morning - was he hiding? I foresee cheap plastic stacking chairs ready and waiting for the 'terminal'. The too high, too gloomy wall is awaiting graffiti. Perhaps the graffiti artists will paint a view this is to be lost forever.	The whole thing is being done 'on the cheap'. I was told 75% of people who attended the 31st July open day were in favour of the new plans, but only 27 people turned up on that day and they can hardly be called representative. Back to the drawing board guys, start listening to the people who live in Penzance, because you don't live here!! Don't muck about with our harbours and its outstanding view unless you can come up with plans we can be proud of.
I am outraged by the design, which will compromise considerably the beauty/views of this area of the promenade. You will effectively be pouring concrete on a beach - you call it Battery Rocks, but it is also a beach (but you never use that word in this exhibition) The splendid views from the promenade will also be compromised with a large white building now in the way of views towards Marazion. The promenade/views are what makes Penzance special for visitors and residents.	Not enough public information has been provided about this - no one knows about it. This does not feel like proper public consultation. Only 110 people attended the last meeting - that is because on the street, no one has been informed!! And one gets the impression you'd like to keep it that way. How does the word 'beach' not appear in the section on 'environmental assessment'?
It is difficult to really ascertain what it will be like, the general consensus is that it will block the view of the Mount and the adjacent area. The Bay is of huge aesthetic importance and so far buildings around it such as the Wharveside and Hanover House have been of a very low architectural standard. The Albert Pier would have made much more sense with rail freight and passengers going from the underused station.	The problem is it is virtually a fait accompli. Consultation seems to have been deficient in the last few years; Hyder have gone(?) Halcrow have come with the helpful staff. If we don't agree this plan the Old Scillonian will be taken out of service in 3 years, because of European Regulations.
If it is under the supervision of the idiots who designed the bus station in 72, I am concerned. That is the most useless of shelters I've yet seen and I wonder if this proposal will be as inappropriate.	
Anything that improves existing freight congestion etc and does not	Information was a bit late reaching the general public - as with most

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>have an overbearing 'visual' impact on what is pretty unused and unseen part of Penzance would be welcome!!</p>	<p>things, decisions are often made without public consultation! As a resident of some 10 years in Penzance, living very near to the proposed redevelopment area, I would like to put forward suggestions for the promenade, which anyone will tell you is in a very bad state of repair, and could in fact be 'saved' if a proper barrier/marina was installed with facilities for existing and visiting boats etc Anyway - just a suggestion!</p>
<p>Like many people, I feel this development is not the right one to act upon. I think passenger and freight is best separated and the area under proposal is too small and too congested for this increased freight. The freight building is too high and perspectives exclude an overall view. We have not had the chance to look at alternative proposals and sites. It's the wrong development in the wrong place.</p>	<p>We cannot rush into such an 'important development. Other alternatives may take longer and cost more, but we need to consider very carefully before we proceed. We need to sort out what is happening with the finance and operation of the vessel proposed alongside any proposal. Do not allow monetary considerations to be the only criteria for this build.</p>
<p>It is badly placed. The design of the building is not sympathetic. A more appropriate place on the harbour could be used. The facilities will not benefit the people of the town nor bring revenue to the town, so should not be funded by either central or local tax. The traffic issues will be all day rather than at a known peak, so it can be avoided.</p>	<p>I totally object to this whole proposal and would like to be kept informed of all timescales and closing dates for comments and objections throughout the planning process. Please send me a link if possible to the relevant planning site. My email is: xxxxxxxx</p>
<p>Freight Building: Too utilitarian! Flat roof - more distinctive in style - roof line (a la Newlyn Fishmarket) Concern: Intrusion of passenger "Entrance" into viewing space of Mounts Bay.</p>	
<p>Total Rubbish. Cheap, Cheap, Cheap, Cheap, Cheap. In the wrong place, a total eyesore. Tell the government to stick their budget where the sun don't shine. Penzance harbour needs/deserves a total plan and this needs to be part of it, not some tacky half-baked scheme add-on.</p>	<p>If you can't do something right - Then don't do it at all. British short-term make do, planning that has plagued us throughout the 20th Century - Carry on the tradition into the 21st eh? We aren't at the bottom yet, but we will be soon enough.</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>Dreadful in appearance and in its impact on the bay scene. I have used this area for over 60 years and treasure what we have there compared with the barrenness of the promenade.</p>	<p>I understand that no consideration has been given to the alternative of developing the North Pier, as was proposed some 20-30 years ago, with an eastward extension and a sheltered marina between it and S W Water sewerage building. It may be more expensive, but brings greater benefits in many ways.</p>
<p>It would ruin the superb view across to St Michael's Mount - A world heritage site and not just any old view. The proposed building is not very "Art Deco" as I gather it is supposed to be. Looks more like 1950's Festival of Britain! Would block most of the view. (Photos give false impression.)</p>	<p>Why should the residents of Penzance have to lose one of the treasures of the town - namely that view - for the sake of people travelling to the Scillies. Such passengers would soon have many lovely views - but the residents of Penzance would have lost this superb view. Photos are deceptive.</p>
<p>I do not think it is all in keeping with the Jubilee Pool or the other buildings and coastline in the immediate surrounding area. I do not feel it is necessary or attractive and it will not enhance the seafront in any way. I doubt whether it will withstand the strong winter gales and weather fronts we have at all and will soon become a shabby and in need of repair - who will pay for this. It has obviously been deigned by someone who lives outside West Penwith.</p>	<p>It is being built mainly for people who do not live in Penzance and obviously do not even wish to stay here. It will only be used for half of the year when the boat sails. It is not a building for Penzance community. It is using many millions that could be far better spent to enhance the area and regenerate Penzance for its people. There will still be a traffic problem on an already potentially dangerous corner that has to be used even more than it used to be due to the one-way system in Market Jew Street. It will be an eye-sore to our promenade. A better safer site should be sought. The public should be kept more informed before it is a foregone conclusion (maybe it already is!) It seems to be an objective for the Isles of Scilly not Penzance. Is the Scillonian a private company? Who is it being built for and why? Once constructed always regretted"</p>
<p>Completely out of character with existing Barbican/Dolphin Pub historical buildings</p>	<p>Why? Flower freight negligible, new potatoes non-existent. Passenger numbers down.</p>
<p>The design is totally inappropriate for the area by the sea wall and harbour area. It is a poor design that reminds us of poor 1960's</p>	<p>The view of the Bay and Mount will be ruined.</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
designs.	
Very bad idea indeed!	
<p>I am dismayed by the visual impact of the terminal building and the loss of view. The issue of passengers arriving by car hasn't really been addressed and there is insufficient provision for coaches awaiting pick up.</p> <p>In my opinion, the extension to the lighthouse pier is not long enough. I've lived here all my life and the seas running in a SE can be colossal. I am satisfied that the proposed facilities at Penzance are adequate for existing volume.</p>	<p>The plan has been severely restricted by budget. It remains to be seen what effect the changes will have on the Battery Rocks area. A more appropriate scheme is needed to direct all traffic from the harbour area and that lies in a development to the East of Albert Pier. This is however, a start!</p>
<p>Why? What is this needed for? Who wants it? Is this supposed to be progress? Some things should be just left alone. Scilly is well served as it is. Is nowhere on earth sacrosanct any more? Some places should be more difficult to get to. If people want to go to the fortunate isles, then a small effort should be expected. How romantic to have no passenger boat for the winter months. Do they starve? Me thinks not! Ever heard of the goose and the golden egg? Let's not make them the 'unfortunate' isles. No don't get me going on Battery Rocks (no more space).</p>	
<p>They look very nice, but I don't see how anyone is going to get to them on foot as the road is narrow and difficult to cross as it is. Where is the paving? Where are the crossings? Why? How much more room is there on the Scillies?</p>	<p>I disagree wholeheartedly with this idiotic plan. It will destroy the historic harbour wall and bring even more traffic into the middle of Penzance. Why not site this on the uglier side of town, closer to the railway and bus stations for easy passenger access? Putting the new wall on that side will allow for traffic to be stopped at that point and kept out of town. If you want economic development for the town, this piddly little change will not achieve it and we will be faced with more bills and building work in a few years time. This only seems to be for the benefit of the steamship company, not the people of Penzance or the people of the Scillies. If they want to destroy their unique piece of the planet, perhaps it is up to them, but I do believe this whole project</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
	should be stopped and soon!!!
A total disaster to encourage trucks and cars into this part of town. Where is cycle access consideration?	Please do not go ahead with this - we will regret it for decades.
Poorly designed and does not enhance Penzance's appearance	Is it necessary for the economic development of the area. Might increase traffic, congestion. How will people get there? Why not build it closer to a public transport node? There aren't enough crossings or places for visitors to part cars. Too hard to carry luggage to new terminal.
	I had not seen Trythall Shipping's suggestions at the time of writing my original form and consider that these proposals are sensible, town, tourist and eco-friendly and should be adopted.
Poor - Design of passenger terminal does not fit in with local area. Materials are not going to be preserved for any length of time in this environment and there will therefore be high maintenance. Extended roof doubtful due to gale force wind	My main concern is lack of drop off points for passengers. The designated area is not really large enough when on occasions there can be up to 10 coaches and dozens of cars all picking up vast amounts of luggage as well as persons. The lack of proper facilities for this will cause havoc for the local person and holidaymaker in Penzance. Does the movement of lorries at times when the Scillonian is not sailing mean we will be seeing freight being delivered on Sundays when there is a high visitor element in the harbour area.
Ridiculous. What is the need for this? Things go on fine at the moment. I am sure millions could be spent better to the advantage of the locals here and the islanders on Scilly.	I'm against it!
Very modern and trendy in a few years time it will be as tatty, old and shabby as the shelters on the promenade. The actual model you have on display is rather small and not detailed enough.	Unhappy about these changes. Yes we must move on but can't they construct piers etc near Chyandour River or Lariggan River. We do not want to lose the wonderful vista of the beautiful bays that we have at present.
It is purely for the 'Scillonian' and not taking into account the traffic that will add to an already congested spot even with a turning circle. The canopy near the Jubilee Pool harks back to the 50's 60's design	Dredging and pile-driving will have an adverse effect on the stability of the Jubilee Pool walls. A totally badly thought out plan! Thank you.

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>and will not last five minutes in a force 10! You say lorries/deliveries will be catered for when sailing doesn't take place, which is at the weekend when summer and winter visitors and locals like to visit the harbour. The whole project has been very badly thought through.</p>	
<p>Better than expected, except that: The roofline of the passenger terminal - where the change to the existing view from the prom is most noticeable, is uncompromisingly utilitarian and straight-edged; and therefore uncongenial to its environment, where everything from Horizon to bay to boats to the Jubilee Pool is curved. Would it not be possible to give it a little more grace without huge extra cost?</p>	<p>Otherwise, as a regular walker and swimmer in the area, I have no objection.</p>
<p>Not enough soul. Penzance is just coming up as a beautiful town, and now this. As for St Mary's, I don't see too much harm to the view, but why are you planning for more traffic, more building, more people. Where is the water and the electricity going to come from.</p>	
<p>Wrong place - ruins Battery Rocks surroundings</p>	<p>Prefer the Harbour Users Ideas.</p>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The buildings are airport lounge style, rather than in keeping with the art deco / granite buildings in the immediate area. 2. The development of the Albert Pier (off to the east and in deeper water) seems to be a more logical place for the new ferry terminal. 3. I am not convinced that your development will benefit Penzance in any way. It will not bring business/jobs/tourist facilities to the area. It will merely destroy what holidaymakers/locals consider to be special about Penzance; its seafront and views across Mounts Bay 4. The Isles of Scilly are not disadvantaged in any way by current facilities. 5. The area needs to be re-vitalised to attract more visitors, not developed to provide better communication links for the Isles of Scilly. 	<p>No benefit to Penzance - see above. I suspect that central government is putting up the money, so hence a lack of sensitivity to local views, needs and wants. No alternative proposals on display.</p>

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
I do not accept this as the final design. Presumably, as a new-build, it would meet all the requirements of the proposal. But, where was the consultation process that decided it was needed and in this location. It appears to do nothing to alleviate the bottlenecks of the Battery Road/Dolphin area or the Ross Bridge.	Very disappointed with the democratic process. Presumably, the county council and Penwith must have been working on this for years without any public input. Why this location? Why lose the small beach? Was any consideration given to building a pier parallel to Albert Pier? If so, why discounted? Are we being forced to take the cheap option?
I think more will be lost than gained with this development. Who (in any real numbers) suggested the need for this kind of construction?	I wonder if this consultation and the feedback will really be used constructively? I shall watch that space with interest!
	I would like Jubilee Pool and Battery Rocks to remain as they are as I feel they are a unique feature of Penzance and part of its heritage.
Absolutely atrocious, short sighted and unnecessary! This is a waste of money and there is a perfectly good alternative proposed by the Harbour Users Association, in an un-sensitive environmental area and with wider facilities for everyone in Penzance. These investments should be put into this scheme, as well as many other advantages the alternative scheme would keep the traffic out of the town.	Environmentally, as well as visually this is a disaster. Please stop this rape of our lovely town.
I do not support building a terminal in this position. I question the need for a terminal this size, but especially the position of it. This area is valued by many people who live here and it is very wrong to concrete over it and build this monstrosity.	We should value the beauty of Penzance front which is such a huge asset to this town. Can we not put any new development nearer the already ruined harbour car park?
Wrong location - The excellent (under-maintained) promenade from Newlyn to the Mounts Bay/St Michaels Mount view area at The Jubilee Pool should be first priority of this tourist dependant town. Why destroy this well designed area with what will be a jumble of badly parked buses, cars, tourist luggage and all that goes with a terminal.	1. Pedestrians - will the scheme incorporate improved pedestrian pavement on the sea side from the Jubilee Pool into Penzance? - it is presently a dangerous area for pedestrians requiring a road crossing on a bend. 2. Toilet facilities - Does the scheme include modern public toilet facilities?
The view from the War Memorial will be wrecked with environmental consequences to the beach.	Please hesitate! That others may make decisions that reflect the will of the people who live here. We resent decisions being imposed on us -

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
	destroying our seascape.
It looks hideous, demolishes a beautiful beach and bulldozers the feelings of the people of Penzance.	It would generate more traffic which would be a safety hazard. It would be environmentally unsuitable for the local wildlife - seals, oysters catchers, terns etc
I believe that the view across to the Mount will be compromised. To be honest I felt shocked when I saw the illustration! I do not believe that any of these 'improvements' are wholly necessary. Is the tourist industry of the Isles of Scilly not sufficient?	I often see the car park, opposite the bathing pool, empty. Is it not possible to utilise some other existing place that is not being used to its full potential. I would be disappointed to lose this tranquil, private part of the town.
I despair at the industrialisation of an area of outstanding natural beauty and at the loss of a place where there is a high volume of wildlife, including seals and dolphins, kingfishers, egrets and other unusual birds. Nothing I have heard today convinced me that this is a viable or sensible plan. The traffic has already increased in that area with the changes last year. It is already a dangerous corner with a high volume of traffic.	How many passengers will be aware that the new multi-purpose vessel will carry explosives, gas, acid etc. There must be an alternative - why not recreate the old harbour and develop parking on the far side of the Albert Pier if it is not feasible to have this particular development there.
Don't approve at all	Too much money for too little return.
This design is going to ruin the view from Penzance prom and destroy the only quiet beach in Penzance. Harbour walls that are listed will be destroyed for ever. Penzance Harbour Users Plan for a quay outside the Albert Pier is a better design	The so called passenger freight building on Battery Square is a most ugly and offensive design. Goes against the whole 'olde-worldeness' of the Barbican, which will probably be ruined by flat building beside the Barbican Lane.
Penzance harbour plan horrid - are all we concerned with passengers and freight facilities. The beach will be lost. The setting of this listed (and ancient) area will be compromised. I feel our grandchildren will not thank us for this further ruination of the nicest part of town. Has not the alternative plan, centred on the train/bus station area even been considered?	The plan will do nothing to ease the traffic congestion experienced at this end of town. One gets the feeling this whole project is a 'done deal' and the consultation process mere window dressing.
Design themselves not offensive, but the location is completely unacceptable.	Much, much more public consultation and discussion needed - this is a highly destructive project, and everyone should know about it.
	I need more information eg a comparative evaluation of the alternatives

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
	looked at - economic practicality etc. This looks too much like a fait accompli
I am shocked at the extent of these proposals. The version put forward by Trythalls will not have nearly such a drastic impact. This will turn Penzance into a ferry port and it will lose much of its charm. It seems really unnecessary and a travesty. I can't believe this would happen in this day and age where sensitivity to local concerns should be paramount.	Please, please don't spoil our beach and our town!
Poor. I greatly prefer the Harbour Users Scheme. This one is obtrusive, will greatly detract from Battery Rocks and The Pool. I don't think it takes enough account of storm conditions - and why do we need this larger boat?	The last consultation was poorly publicised and unfairly counted. People feel the whole process is not democratic as things have already been decided. But we should not have this scheme!
Building on the existing beach which is used by local people is an absolute outrage. I feel angry that there has been so little consultation with the people of Penzance. I only heard about these plans a few weeks ago. Even the people that know about the plans, do not realise that the beach will be concreted over.	I strongly object to Penzance losing its beach. There are other ways of sorting out the Scillonian. Problems without Penzance having to sustain this massive loss to its natural beach which is used every day in the summer by local people. The plans to concrete over the beach needs to be very well advertised and proper consultation done with the People of Penzance.
I am absolutely horrified. There is no benefit to the town of Penzance as far as I can see - the complete opposite. The buildings are out of keeping and obscure the existing view.	Our forefathers must be turning in their graves when they see what has been done to Penzance in the last 40 years. This latest fiasco won't help.
Very bad. I like it without the new stuff and I go swimming off there. Do not do it!	I think it is very bad.
Poor, will certainly destroy one of the few really lovely areas of Penzance and the seeming haste of proposals makes me wonder about quality and any sort of sustainability in the long term.	Lack of publicity before the last few weeks despite we are told previous consultation. Has any planner member of the council architect or designer reviewed this area? During a Southerly and Easterly storm it would be immediately clear how ridiculous it is.
My concerns fall into these areas:	The council have really damaged the aesthetics of Penzance over the

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>Impact on Ecology - we do not want increased traffic into Penzance and along the front. Access to the Sea - Too much of the sea has been made inaccessible and obscured from view by past planning decisions. What's the Gain - For the people of Penzance it is unclear. Lack of Publicity - People believe that council are trying to sneak this past us. Lack of Trust - Of our council - widespread belief in corruption and their disinterest in helping people here. Aesthetic Sensibility - The council have not got a good history of making aesthetic choices.</p>	<p>years through the developments they have supported and decisions they have made. I don't trust their aesthetic judgement. I would rather that public transport was used rather than encouraging more cars into Penzance. We have spaces that could be used for car parks outside of town, eg the railway sidings at Long Rock. What impact would this work have on the water quality in the bay? And what impact will that have on marine life here? Also, in the long run, what impact would increase traffic/human activity on the bay have on the environment? This area is one of the few public spaces left in Penzance where people can walk and day dream. Also, get close to the sea. Couldn't we use the old harbour and dredge it out? I don't feel this development will improve the quality of life in Penzance for local people. Which is what I think the council should focus on - housing, education, healthcare, ecology, sustainable employment etc</p>
<p>I think the design is ugly. I don't know why they can't dredge the old harbour. Also, we don't want more traffic on the seafront. Who will benefit from it? Not the population of Penzance.</p>	<p>Whatever the council does is ugly, badly managed and corrupt. They don't care what we think!</p>
<p>I really don't like what they are doing. It is horrible.</p>	<p>Leave our beach alone!!!</p>
<p>No</p>	
<p>I think it spoils the harbour</p>	<p>Please don't do it.</p>
<p>I don't want a marina and this sounds like the first step!</p>	
<p>In a S.E. gale, heavy spray comes over the high wall opposite the Dolphin. Will the new defences stop the new buildings becoming engulfed? The piers of West Cornwall are such wonderful structures and a joy to look at, in fact works of art that are captured by many artists. Will the extension to the lighthouse pier be built with the same granite to blend in?</p>	
<p>I strongly object to the loss of this natural habitat. The lack of any consideration to the view lost from the front. The "Bus shelter" style</p>	

What is your opinion on the final design of the passenger and freight facilities?	General Comments
<p>design of building next to unique Lido. Loss of access to a swimming area used by hundreds all year around battery rocks. This is not improvement or progress it is destruction of what is unique in Penzance</p>	
<p>As a regular visitor to the islands over the past 30 years I fully support the extending and widening of the pier to alleviate the chaos in the summer when the Scillonian comes in. However I object strongly to the proposed siting of the new terminal building. It seems a total waste of money, particularly when there are empty buildings and sites elsewhere around the harbour, and it seems to me to be on the wrong side of the harbour. I hope that good sense will prevail and planning consent will be withheld.</p>	
<p>I, like many hundreds of others, am appalled at the passenger area with the sharp, dark roof completely cutting out the beautiful view of St Michaels Mount as you come round the corner from the Jubilee Pool. Could the area be sunk into the rocks or the roof made flatter?</p>	<p>In today's financial climate, I can only hope that this project will be shelved for more acceptable, essential work.</p>
<p>Fantastic! It's about time something was done about this side of town. I think it will be a big improvement.</p>	<p>I hope this proposal will go through, despite all the opposition.</p>